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Background

- Autonomy-supportive may promote students’ learning and agency about socioscientific topics (Patall, 2019; Zangori et al., 2017).
- Yet, learning about socioscientific issues may be challenging for students because they are often controversial and complex (Sinatra & Lombardi, 2020).
- Instructional scaffolding may facilitate students’ learning about controversial and complex socioscientific topics and help them to think more scientifically (Bailey et al., 2018).

Purpose and Research Questions

- Model-Evidence Link (MEL) scaffolds can facilitate students’ scientific evaluations about the connection between evidence and alternative explanatory models (Lombardi et al., 2018).
- More critical evaluations can shift students toward more scientific judgments and deeper learning (Lombardi et al., 2016).
- The purpose of the present study was to compare the effectiveness of two types of MEL scaffolds: a) build-a-MEL (baMEL; more autonomy supportive), and b) preconstructed MEL (pcMEL; less autonomy supportive).

Research Question:

- How do students’ plausibility judgments and knowledge change over the course of these two instructional treatments (pcMEL and baMEL)?

Methods

- Participants ($N = 171$) were mostly White (71%) secondary students from two school districts in the U.S.
- Procedures
  - We measured model plausibility pre and post activity per the procedures outlined in Medrano et al. (2020).
  - Scientific topics: climate change (pcMEL) & extreme weather (baMEL)

Materials

- The Climate Change pcMEL is about causes of current climate change, where students are presented 4 lines of scientific evidence and 2 explanatory models (scientific and a non-scientific alternative).
- The Extreme Weather baMEL is about extreme weather events and climate change, where students constructed their own diagram selecting 4 lines of scientific evidence (from 8 possible choices) and 2 explanatory models (from 3 possible choices).

Results

- Both MEL scaffolds promoted plausibility shifts toward the scientific model and deepened students’ knowledge.
- Scientific shifts had a stronger effect size for the baMEL.
- The Climate Change pcMEL may have reached “ceiling” effect, with wider acceptance of human-inducement.

Conclusion
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